





TECHNICAL BRIEF

Expanding Ambulatory Care to Treat Drug-Resistant TB in Ethiopia

BACKGROUND

The World Health Organization (WHO) listed Ethiopia as one of the 30 high tuberculosis (TB), TB/HIV, and drugresistant TB (DR-TB) burden countries.^{1,2} According to a WHO estimate, 2.7% of new and 14% of previously treated TB cases were expected to have DR-TB, which equates to about 2,700 multidrug-resistant (MDR)/rifampicin-resistant (RR) TB cases in 2017.¹ However, only 741 (27%) of the estimated MDR/RR TB cases were notified that year.

There is limited capacity in expanding and decentralization of services, provision of drugs and supplies, laboratory networking and sample referral, delivery of laboratory results, and laboratory facilities for patient monitoring. The National TB Programme (NTP) in Ethiopia committed to decentralizing and scaling up implementation of DR-TB management by using an alternative ambulatory model to increase access to care.

Challenge TB (CTB) is a strategic partner to NTP in ensuring implementation of successful programmatic management of DR-TB (PMDT) in Ethiopia. Ethiopia implemented ambulatory care for DR-TB cases by expanding treatment initiating centers (TICs) and treatment follow-up centers (TFCs) to ensure access. The global average achievement of DR-TB treatment success was 55%—Ethiopia surpassed it and achieved 75%. The NTP currently is using shorter treatment regimens (STRs) and is implementing new drugs at varying scale in the regions of the country.





Because the number of TICs was limited, patients had to be admitted to facilities far from home. This affected access to service, was expensive, and caused an accumulating waiting list of patients, some of whom died while waiting. The number of TICs was expanded (figure 1) rapidly by renovating existing health facilities to enable DR-TB care; these efforts were supported by partners that provided technical support.

STRATEGIC APPROACH

Previously, the NTP implemented the hospitalized model of DR-TB management, which is highly centralized, not accessible to patients in remote areas, and expensive for patients and the overall health system. Inefficiencies and long waiting times resulted in many patients not receiving the life saving care they needed. NTP's vison was to rapidly ensure wide coverage, so they mapped the necessary steps to achieve its objective. From consultation to planning and implementation, NTP and partners worked together to map facilities, identify gaps, build technical capacity, mobilize resources, and implement the ambulatory model of care, guided by the ExpandNet framework.³

FIGURE 2. Core areas of programmatic management of DR-TB in Ethiopia



In 2013, the NTP shifted from the hospitalized model of care to the clinic-based ambulatory model to rapidly expand the service to the periphery and customize service delivery to the local context to ensure patient friendly services. This model is designed to provide outpatient treatment based on the decision of the clinical team. Temporary inpatient care is reserved for patients who develop severe adverse events in the course of treatment. However, patients with serious medical or social issues also may be admitted.

CTB identified and targeted the following strategic support areas (figure 2) to address the main challenges of PMDT services in Ethiopia:

PROJECT IMPLEMENTATION

Within the model of care, the NTP maintained the core functions of designing policy packages at the national level, building the capacity of the PMDT service within the NTP, ensuring delivery of routine care within the diagnostic and clinical services, and supporting patients during the course of treatment enrollment and follow-up. CTB supported these core functions in the following ways:

Designing policies, guidelines, and standard operating procedures

- Revised national guidelines to reflect policy and implementation changes
- Decentralized the ambulatory model of MDR-TB service to TICs and TFCs
- Improved access to quality lab services and universal culture and DST (CDST)
- Introduced and expanded STRs and new drugs
- Ensured availability and distribution of supplies
- Conducted pharmacovigilance

Building capacity

- Strengthened PMDT technical working groups and partnerships
- Built lab capacity and networking: Xpert, line probe assay for second-line drugs (SL-LPA), conventional CDST, and sample referral
- Conducted regular training for health care workers
- Procured and ensured availability and distribution of commodities

- Create an enabling environment through regular supportive supervision and mentoring
- Ensure patient-centered care and treatment by using the national algorithm to ensure universal drug-susceptibility testing (DST), which strengthens screening; in addition, introduce new drugs and expand sites to make service accessible
- Ensure the provision of patient care, including followup diagnostic and treatment services, and provide psychosocial support
- Provide support to procurement of drugs and commodities and pharmacovigilance

Delivering routine clinical care

- Renovated TICs and culture laboratory facilities
- Procured and distributed reagents and test kits to diagnostic sites
- Strengthened clinical review panel for patient assessment and decision making
- Linked TIC to TFC for patient treatment and follow-up
- Networked to diagnostic sites for Xpert, SL-LPA, and CDST and used sample referral system
- Conducted active adverse drug events monitoring: clinically and by laboratory tests
- Conducted catchment area meeting with TFC and TIC teams
- Extended expert clinical consultation for challenging cases from the central level
- Conducted mentoring and supportive supervision to TICs and TFCs

Supporting patients during treatment and follow-up

- Provided patient adherence counseling and nutritional and psychological support
- Observed monthly MDR day in the catchment area
- Conducted household contact screening of DR-TB patients
- Provided expert patient consultation to DR-TB patients on treatment
- Improved treatment success of DR-TB patients

Inauguration of Debre Tabor MDR-TB treatment center, January 2018 (Photo credit: MSH)



Patient visit at DR-TB treatment center (Photo credit: MSH)



PHASED IMPLEMENTATION

Initial phase

Based on the challenges to patients, cost to the health system, and impact on outcome, the NTP and its partners coordinated a series of meetings to assess the options to overcome the obstacles. The pros and cons of the clinic and ambulatory models of care were identified and possible mechanisms and resources to scale up the ambulatory model were discussed and agreed upon with stakeholders; implementation will require revision of guidelines, capacity building, renovation of facilities, and provision of commodities.

The ambulatory care model was initiated in 2013 in 8 TICs and 120 TFCs prepared to deliver service incountry at baseline. Most TFCs did not have patients, as enrollment started in the TICs that link patients to the TFCs. However, the TFCs were made ready in terms of technical capacity and facility preparedness to accommodate DR-TB cases. Implementation has provided improved access to care and increased DR-TB enrollment; it was also found to be patient-centered as patients could access the service within a reasonable distance from their homes.⁴ Based on assessment of the capacity of health facilities and driven by the needs of the health system, step-by-step support was provided to ensure wider coverage.

Scale-up phase

Rapid decentralization and scale-up of DR-TB management was led by program capacity building for policy makers, training health workers about the programmatic management of DR-TB, and building infrastructure. This phase also established TICs for stabilizing patient and treatment initiation and TFCs for DR-TB outpatient followup.² Clinical review panels, which include professionals from different departments (psychiatry, drugs, and supplies), clinicians, and other laboratory professionals, were established to provide support in assessing patients for admission or linking to care. Patients started on treatment will be assessed for clinical stability, and if their general condition is good, they will be linked to a TFC for ambulatory care. There is regular follow-up and clinical evaluation for patients in the TFCs and TICs, which are supported through monthly catchment area meetings.

RESULTS AND ACHIEVEMENTS

Programmatic support, training, mentoring, and supportive supervision were regularly conducted at TICs and TFCs. Since implementation of the ambulatory model in 2013, the service has rapidly expanded to 43 TICs and 426 TFCs (figure 3). The number of TICs and TFCs varies by region, based on their population, size, and health service coverage, with the most in the Amhara and Oromia regions (table 1).



FIGURE 3. Expansion of TICs and TFCs from 2013–2018 in CTB-supported

regions

TABLE I. Expansion of TICs and TFCs in CTB-supported regions of Ethiopia

| | 2012 | | 2013 | | 2014 | | 2015 | | 2016 | | 2017 | | 2018 | | TOTAL | |
|--------|------|-----|------|-----|------|-----|------|-----|------|-----|------|-----|------|-----|-------|-----|
| REGION | TIC | TFC | TIC | TFC |
| Oromia | 1 | 0 | 6 | 80 | 10 | 80 | 15 | 130 | 17 | 180 | 19 | 216 | 21 | 233 | 21 | 233 |
| Amhara | 2 | 0 | 2 | 40 | 6 | 92 | 9 | 117 | 9 | 125 | 9 | 110 | 14 | 122 | 14 | 122 |
| Tigray | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 7 | 48 | 7 | 48 | 7 | 64 | 7 | 65 | 7 | 65 |
| BG | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 6 | 2 | 6 |
| Total | 3 | 0 | 8 | 120 | 22 | 172 | 31 | 295 | 33 | 353 | 36 | 394 | 43 | 426 | 44 | 426 |

| TABLE 2. | DR-TB cas | e enrollment and | treatment s | uccess for | 24-month | regimen ir | n CTB-supp | orted regions |
|----------|-----------|------------------|-------------|------------|----------|------------|------------|-------------------|
| | | | | | | -0 - | | · · · · · · · · · |

| | DR-TB CA | SES ENROL | LED | | | DR-TB TSR (%) | | | | | |
|---------|----------|-----------|------|------|------|---------------|------|------|------|------|--|
| REGION | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | |
| Oromia | 88 | 132 | 179 | 184 | 106 | 86 | 78 | 74 | 75 | 81 | |
| Amhara | 133 | 146 | 103 | 104 | 92 | 82 | 73 | 69 | 81 | 81 | |
| Tigray | 47 | 71 | 71 | 94 | 87 | 0 | 0 | 63 | 70 | 80 | |
| Total | 268 | 349 | 353 | 382 | 285 | | | | | | |
| Average | | | | | | 84 | 76 | 69 | 75 | 81 | |

FIGURE 4. DR-TB TSR of CTB-supported regions (percentages are averages)



Acknowledgements

Thank you to all of the staff from Challenge TB Ethiopia for their support in the development of this technical brief.

Authors

This publication was written by Daniel Gemechu, with contributions from Degu Jerene and Nebiyu Hiruy.

For more information, please contact lessons@msh.org.

CTB-supported regions enrolled 1,637 DR-TB cases. The treatment success rate (TSR) reached 81% (table 2), significantly higher than the global TSR of 55%. The average TSR has improved in the regions and reached a similar rate of 81% over the years (figure 4). At the start of ambulatory care, access was limited but has now improved because of improved technical capacity and support.

The improvement in treatment success was ensured through continued comprehensive support provided by CTB, which ranged from technical support to institutional capacity building, patient counseling and support, observing MDR day with patients, and clinical consultation.

LESSONS LEARNED

Implementing the ambulatory DR-TB treatment model in Ethiopia rapidly expanded TICs and TFCs, resulting in a high TSR. Close collaboration of NTP with partners, proper planning, and resource mobilization for implementation were instrumental in these achievements at such a large scale.

WAY FORWARD

The ambulatory model of care has increased access to DR treatment and put it within reach of the community. Lessons learned from the implementation of ambulatory care have resulted in improved DR-TB management. With this rapid expansion, NTP has now included (and is in the process of implementing) new and shorter treatment regimens in national PMDT. Recently, Ethiopia introduced the injection-free DR-TB regimen, which will use the same modality of intervention and scale-up.

References

- 1 The Global Tuberculosis Report, 2018
- 2 Guidelines on Programmatic Management of Drug-Resistant Tuberculosis in Ethiopia, 2nd ed. Federal Ministry of Health of Ethiopia, 2013. Available at https://www.medbox.org/guidelines-on-programmatic-management-of-drugresistant-tuberculosis-in-ethiopia/download.pdf
- 3 WHO. Nine steps for developing a scaling up strategy. 2010
- 4 Molla Y, Jerene D, Jemal I, et. al. Experience of Scaling Up a Decentralized, Ambulatory Model of Care for Management of Multidrug-Resistant Tuberculosis in Two Regions of Ethiopia. J Clin Tuberc Other Mycobact Dis. 2017. 7:28–33. DOI: 10.1016/j.jctube.2017.03.001.

The Global Health Bureau, Office of Health, Infectious Disease and Nutrition (HIDN), US Agency for International Development (USAID), financially supports this publication through Challenge TB under the terms of agreement no. AID-OAA-A-14-00029. This publication is made possible by the generous support of the American people through USAID. The contents are the responsibility of Challenge TB and do not necessarily reflect the views of USAID or the United States Government.